

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,868		07/13/2001	Akira Nakamura	P103213-00032	9617
4372	7590	09/30/2003			
ARENT F	OX KINT	TNER PLOTKIN	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400	1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400			SNIEZEK, ANDREW L	
WASHINGTON, I		20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2651	
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/903,868	NAKAMURA, AKIRA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Andrew L. Sniezek	2651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. REANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26							
, <u></u>	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.	•	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2001 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	=	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440() ()) (0					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language p15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/903,868

Art Unit: 2651

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings filed 7/13/01 are acceptable by the Examiner.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed

Application/Control Number:

09/903,868 Art Unit: 2651

150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it compares the invention with the prior art and because contains the legal phraseology "means" reserved for claims. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicants admitted prior art as depicted by figures 4-5 and as discussed on pages 1-6 of the written disclosure.

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches a motor driving device comprising a motor (20), a motor driver circuit for controlling motion of the motor (50, 60, 70 and 80). It is noted that separate circuits are combined to form a single circuit which controls motor motion. This combined circuit has a function of limiting a current supplied to the motor below a predetermined limit value (page 3, lines 12-16 and page 5, lines 9-22). The limitations of claim 1 are satisfied by this teaching. The limitations of claim 3 directed to a constant current are

Application/Control Number:

09/903,868

Art Unit: 2651

satisfied by page 5, lines 9-11. The limitations directed to a limit value required to drive the motor in a saturated state as set forth in claim 4 are satisfied by page 5, lines 13-22. The limitations of claim 5 directed to the use of a USB as an interface as a bus powered device that is supplied with electric power via the USB is satisfied by the USB connection as depicted in figure 4. The limitations of claim 6 directed to a disk device and stepping motor is satisfied by elements (10 and 20) of figure 4.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7-17 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: It is noted that claim 2 is written in means plus function language. The specification has been referred to for obtaining a meaning of "adjusting means for adjusting the limit value". The specification discloses that this "means" is comprised of a resistor Rs as depicted in figures 1A and 1B that is connected in such a way to provide a feedback control so that the voltage across this resistor is kept below a predetermined voltage. This type of arrangement is neither taught by nor an obvious variation of the art of record. Claims 7 and 13 distinctly point out this feature as discussed above with respect to claim 2. Claims 8-12 and 14-17 depend on claims 7 and 13 respectively.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number:

09/903,868

Art Unit: 2651

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Gan et al. is directed to a device for obtaining a constant velocity park for a voice coil

motor. Kano and Lewis are directed to PWM control of motors and Wakuda is directed to a

motor driver circuit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone number is 703-308-1602.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-308-4825. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular

communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Andrew L. Sniezek **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2651

A.L.S.

September 17, 2003